arXiv:1612.01146v1 [math.DS] 4 Dec 2016

ON MIXING AND SPARSE ERGODIC THEOREMS

ASAF KATZ

Abstract. We consider Bourgain's ergodic theorem regarding arithmetic averages in the cases where quantitative mixing is present in the dynamical system. Focusing on the case of the horocyclic flow, those estimates allows us to bound from above the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set, providing evidence towards conjectures by Margulis,Shah and Sarnak regarding equidistribution of arithmetic averages in homogeneous spaces. We also prove the existence of a uniform upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set which is independent from the spectral gap.

1. Introduction

In a seminal paper [2], J. Bourgain proved a pointwise ergodic theorem for arithmetic averages, solving an open problem due to H. Furstenberg, we refer the interested reader to Bourgain's exposition in [1] and the comprehensive survey article [21].

In this paper, we study Bourgain's theorem for dynamical systems for which quantitative mixing estimates hold and in-particular in the context of unipotent flows on homogeneous spaces.

In the case of the horocyclic flow on homogeneous spaces of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, it has been conjectured by N. Shah [24], in general form by G. Margulis [19] and in another form by P. Sarnak [22], that those arithmetic averages should converge for *every individual point*, for every homogeneous space G/Γ where Γ is a lattice in G.

The analogues settings for *continuous time* flows is addressed by equidistribution theorems for unipotent flows such as the Dani-Smillie theorem ([7, Theorem 1], Ratner's equidistribution theorem, Shah's equidistribution theorem ([24, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.1]) and various effective improvements of those theorems.

We provide evidence towards those conjectures in the form of limiting the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set. The main ingredients in our proof are *quantitative mixing estimates* and the *polynomial rate of divergence for the horocyclic flow*, this approach is different than the approach which has been used towards those conjectures by A. Venkatesh [27], which is based upon proving a "large level of distribution" in a suitable formulation of the quantitative pointwise ergodic theorem.

The analogous situation for nilflows has been proven by Leibman in [18] and in quantitative form by Green-Tao in [11].

Statement of the results. Our main result is:

1.1. **Theorem.** *Let G be a simple Lie group, U a one-parameter unipotent subgroup $U = \{u_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \leq G$ and $p(x)$ be a non-constant polynomial with integer coefficients. There exists a constant $\sigma = \sigma(G,p) > 0$ such that for any lattice $\Gamma \leq G$, when*

considering the U-flow on the homogeneous space $X = G/\Gamma$, the following estimate holds

$$dim_H\left(x \in X \mid \left\{u_{p(n)}.x\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ does not equidistribute}\right) \leq dim(G) - \sigma.$$

The proof is based on quantitative mixing estimates, geometrical analysis of the polynomial divergence behavior of the unipotent flow, Ratner's measure classification theorem in order to control associated continuous time averages and upon number-theoretical bounds for moments of exponential sums.

Building towards our main theorem, we deduce the the following theorems, which are of independent interest. In order to state our results, we introduce the following definitions:

1.2. **Definition.** We say that an increasing sequence of integers $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ *grows polynomially* if there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and some $C > 0$ such that $a_n \leq Cn^d$. In this case, we will say that $\{a_n\}$ grows with rate d.

1.3. **Definition.** Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a measure preserving system and $f \in L^2_0(X, \mu)$, we say that (X, T) is *polynomially mixing* for f with rate α if there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $|\langle T^n f, f\rangle| \leq Cn^{-\alpha}$ for some constant $C = C(f)$, where $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_X f(x)\overline{g(x)}d\mu(x)$.

1.4. **Theorem.** *Let $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma \leq G$ a lattice, μ the unique G-invariant probability measure on $X = G/\Gamma$ and $\{u_t\}$ the horocyclic flow defined on X. If f is a bounded Lipschitz function satisfying $\int_X f d\mu = 0$ for which (X, u_t) is mixing with polynomial rate α for some $\alpha > 0$, then for any sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{N}$ which grows polynomially with rate d, the following estimate holds:*

$$\dim_H\left(x \in X \left| \overline{\lim} \left| \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(u_{a_n}.x)\right| > 0\right.\right) \leq 3 - \frac{\alpha'}{d},$$

for some positive $\alpha' = \alpha'(d, \alpha, \Gamma)$, where $\dim_H$ stands for Hausdorff dimension.

As a corollary, combining the above theorem with known bounds for the decay of matrix coefficients and an approximation argument, we get:

1.5. **Corollary.** *Let $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma \leq G$ a lattice, μ the unique G-invariant probability measure on $X = G/\Gamma$ and $\{u_t\}$ the horocyclic flow defined on X. There exists a number $s = s(\Gamma) > 0$ such that for every bounded Lipschitz function f and every non-constant polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg(p) = d$,*

$$\dim_H\left(x \in X \left| \overline{\lim} \left| \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(u_{p(i)}.x) - \int_X f d\mu\right| > 0\right.\right) \leq 3 - \frac{s}{d}.$$

The number $s(\Gamma)$ is related to the *spectral gap* of G/Γ and can be explicitly computed as follows - let λ_1 be the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian over G/Γ, we parametrize λ_1 as $\lambda_1 = s_1 \cdot (1 - s_1)$, and pick $s = \min\{(1/2),\ d \cdot \Re(s_1)\}$. Theorem 1.1 strengthens this result to be free of spectral gap assumptions, but is dependent on particular arithmetic sampling sequences in order to achieve cancellation of certain exponential sums.

Organization of the paper. The article is divided into five sections.

In §2, we prove, in a rather abstract settings, a weak variant of Bourgain's sparse ergodic theorem in the presence of quantitative mixing estimates. The main technique used in the proof is the utilization of the quantitative mixing directly in $L^2(X)$, unlike Bourgain's method which involves passing to $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by means of Calderon transference.

In §3, we focus in the case of the horocyclic flow on homogeneous spaces of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and arithmetic averages along the horocyclic flow, proving Theorem 1.4. We are able to deduce the estimates about the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set via a packing argument.

In §4, we show an explicit method to bound from above the exceptional set uniformly, without dependence on the spectral gap of the given lattice in PSL_2, which improves upon the results of § 3 in the case of very small spectral gap. The proof involves a careful study of the action of the associated averaging operator on complementary series representations and the Dani-Smillie theorem.

In §5 we extend our results for the case of general simple Lie groups and one-parameter unipotent flows, by using Ratner's measure-classification theorem and equidistribution theorem.

Acknowledgments. The results of this paper were obtained as part of the author's PhD thesis at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem under the guidance of Prof. Elon Lindenstrauss. The author also wishes to thank Prof. Tamar Ziegler, Prof. Shahar Mozes and Prof. Nimish Shah for useful conversations during the research. Part of the research was done while the author was staying at MSRI during the program "Geometric and Arithmetic Aspects of Homogeneous Dynamics", the author wishes to thank MSRI and the program organizers for their hospitality. The research was supported by ERC grant (AdG Grant 267259).

2. Proof of a sparse ergodic theorem

We begin by proving a variant of Bourgain's theorem where quantitative mixing is present. While such a result is substantially weaker than Bourgain's (i.e. even for the Bernoulli shift, not all L^2-functions satisfy the polynomial mixing requirement), in practice for many interesting applications (especially in homogeneous dynamics) one is able to verify such conditions for the functions in question. Moreover, the spectral estimate achieved in the course of the proof will play a key role in subsequent sections, hence we provide the details for the sake of completeness.

For the rest of this section, fix $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ a measure preserving system, $f \in L^2(X, \mu)$ a bounded function with $\int_X f d\mu = 0$, $\{n_i\}_i \in \mathbb{N}$ a sequence of integers which grows polynomially with rate $d > 0$, and the following operator $A_N f(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f(T^{n_i} x)$.

We being with the following lemma.

2.1. **Lemma.** *Assume that (X, T) is polynomially mixing for f with rate $\alpha > 0$, and that $\int_X f d\mu = 0$, then for $\alpha' = \frac{1}{2} \min\{1, \alpha\}$ we have that*

$$\|A_N f\|_{L^2(X,\mu)} \lesssim N^{-\alpha'} \|f\|_{L^2(X,\mu)}.$$

Proof. By explicit computation -

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_N f, A_N f\rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} T^{n_i}.f, \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} T^{n_j}.f \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2}\left\langle \sum_{1\le i,j\le N} T^{n_i-n_j}.f, f \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{k=-n_N+1}^{n_N-1} d_N(k)\left\langle T^k.f, f\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where we define $d_N(k)$ as follows - $d_N(k) = |\{(i,j) \mid k = n_i - n_j, 1 \le i,j \le N\}|$. As we have $d_N(k) \le N-1$ for any $-n_N+1 \le k \le n_N - 1$, and using the fact that $|\langle T^n f, f\rangle|$ is decreasing in n due to polynomial mixing, we deduce that $\langle A_N f, A_N f\rangle \le \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=-N+1,\ k\neq 0}^{N-1} |\langle T^k.f, f\rangle|$, and using the explicit mixing rate we can conclude -

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_N f, A_N f\rangle &\le \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=-N+1,\ k\neq 0}^{N-1} C\cdot k^{-\alpha}\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} \\ &\le \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + 2C\cdot N^{-\alpha}\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)},\end{aligned}$$

hence the lemma follows. □

We use the following bootstrapping lemma, used by Bourgain, which allows us to bootstrap convergence along "slowly lacunary" subsequence of the averaging operators $\{A_N\}$ to a convergence of the full sequence $\{A_N\}$, the proof is contained for the sake of completeness.

2.2. **Lemma.** *The sequence $\{A_N f(x)\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise $[\mu]$-almost-surely if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the subsequence $\{A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^N]} f(x)\}_{N\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise $[\mu]$-almost-surely.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, note that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an integer of the form $[(1+\varepsilon)^m]$ between N and $N(1+\varepsilon)$ for some integer m. By a direct comparison -

$$\begin{aligned}|A_N f(x) - A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} f(x)| &\le \frac{[(1+\varepsilon)^m] - N}{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} + \frac{[(1+\varepsilon)^m] - N}{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} A_N|f(x)| \\ &\le \frac{2\varepsilon N}{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]}(1 + \|f\|_\infty),\end{aligned}$$

and the proof follows from the pointwise convergence of the subsequence $\{A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} f(x)\}$. □

Using the quantitative estimates, we are able to prove the following analogue of Bourgain's sparse ergodic theorem.

2.3. **Theorem.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$ be a measure preserving system, $f \in L^2 \cap L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and fix a monotone infinite sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{N}$. If there exists some $\alpha > 0$ such that (X,T)* is mixing with polynomial rate *α for f then for $[\mu]$-almost-every point $x \in X$, the averages $A_N f(x) := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N f(T^{n_i}x)$ converge to $\int_X f(x) d\mu(x)$.*

Proof. First we can assume that the integral of f equals 0, if not we replace f by $f - \int_X f d\mu$. Fix some $\gamma > 0$, and define the exceptional sets for decay at rate γ to be $E_N^\gamma = \{x \in X \mid |A_N f(x)| > N^{-\gamma}\}$. By Lemma 2.2, it is enough to consider convergence along the lacunary subsequences $\{A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} f\}$ of the averages $\{A_N f\}$. Using Chebyshev's inequality we estimate the measures of the exceptional sets as $\mu\left(E^\gamma_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]}\right) \leq N^{2\gamma} \|A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}$. By Lemma 2.1 we get $\|A_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]} f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} \lesssim [(1+\varepsilon)^m]^{-\alpha'}$. As for some small $\gamma < \alpha'$ we have that $\sum_{m=1}^\infty [(1+\varepsilon)^m]^{2\gamma - 2\alpha'} < \infty$, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, $\mu\left(\limsup E^\gamma_{[(1+\varepsilon)^m]}\right) = 0$, concluding convergence along the lacunary subsequence. □

3. Bounding the exceptional set

In [24], N. Shah has asked the following question, which is related to a previous question by Margulis -

3.1. *Question.* Let $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$,$\Gamma \leq G$ be a lattice and X be the homogeneous space $X = G/\Gamma$. Let $U = \{u_t\}$ be the upper unipotent group, namely $u_t = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Given $f \in C_c(X)$, is it true that the horocyclic averages along the squares, $A_N f(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(u_{n^2}.x)$, converges everywhere?

The almost-surely result follows directly from Bourgain's theorem, and the continuous time analogue of the question was proven (as part of much more general theorem) by Shah in [24] using measure-classification techniques.

The current approach towards this question, pioneered by A. Venkatesh in [27], asks for a quantitative pointwise ergodic theorem for the continuous time flow (which has been studied by numerous authors, see [5], [8], [25] and [23]) and then deduce a quantitative pointwise Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem, namely quantify the disjointness of the horocyclic flow from a Kronecker system (see similar results in [26], [9] and [29]). One then approximates the sampling sequence as an arithmetic progression and using the disjointness one basically reduces the question to a question about "level of distribution" achieved in the quantitative pointwise ergodic theorem. Unfortunately, the current techniques involved in deducing a quantitative pointwise ergodic theorem are not strong enough, even under the condition of the Selberg-Ramanujan conjecture, in order to prove the result regarding average along the squares. Moreover, as the approximation is done by linear functions, this method have a natural threshold at the squares and can not be applicable to cubes or other higher powers. Another problem with the current approach arise in the case where the lattice Γ is non-uniform, where there is no proper non-divergence argument available for the case of the squares (for the continuous time flow, the Dani-Margulis lemma provides non-divergence of general continuous-time "polynomial orbits").

It is worth mentioning an interesting work done by P. Sarank and A. Ubis in [23], where they have carefully deduced a quantitative pointwise ergodic theorem in the case of congruence lattices of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and a result towards the horocyclic flow average along the primes. In the primes case, one does not need to approximate by arithmetic progression, but by standard sieving arguments, one is led to using Vinogradov's summation technique and the questions regarding "level of distribution" for the horocyclic flow rise again.

Our approach is different, more modest in its aim, providing a direct packing argument which bounds the exceptional set for those questions. The method is flexible and can be adopted to a more general situations of sparse averages which are taken on maximal horospherical subgroups.

We recall the definition of Hausdorff dimension and box (Minkowski) dimension for a metric space Y.

3.2. **Definition.** For $D \geq 0$ the *D-dimensional Hausdorff measure* of a set $B \subset Y$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^D(B) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \inf_{C_\epsilon} \sum_i \left(\operatorname{diam}(C_i)\right)^D,$$

where $C_\epsilon = \{C_1, C_2, \ldots\}$ is any countable cover of B with sets C_i of diameter $\operatorname{diam}(C_i)$ less than ϵ. The *Hausdorff dimension* of B is defined by

$$\dim_H(B) = \inf\left\{D \mid \mathcal{H}^D(B) = 0\right\} = \sup\left\{D \mid \mathcal{H}^D(B) = \infty\right\}.$$

3.3. **Definition.** For every $\epsilon > 0$ a set $F \subset B$ is called *ϵ-separated* if $d_Y(x, y) \geq \epsilon$ for every two distinct points $x, y \in Y$. Let $N(\epsilon)$ denote the cardinality of the largest ϵ-separated subset of B. The *upper Minkowski dimension* of B is defined by

$$\overline{\dim}_{box}(B) = \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} -\frac{\log(N(\epsilon))}{\log(\epsilon)}.$$

The *upper packing dimension* of B is defined by

$$\overline{\dim}_p(A) = \inf\left\{\sup_i \overline{\dim}_{box}(B_i) \mid A = \cup_i B_i \text{ ,} B_i \text{ are bounded disjoint subsets}\right\}.$$

We record the following elementary facts:

- For any subset B of Y we have
$$\overline{\dim}_{box}(B) \geq \overline{\dim}_p(B) \geq \dim_H(B).$$
- For a family of subsets $B_1, B_2, \ldots \subset Y$ we have -
$$\dim_H\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sup_{i=1,2,\ldots} \dim_H(B_i),$$
and
$$\overline{dim}_p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sup_{i=1,2,\ldots} \overline{\dim}_p(B_i).$$
- Given (Z, d_Z) another metric space, $f : Y \to Z$ a Lipschitz map and a subset $B \subset Y$ then
$$\dim_H(f(B)) \leq \dim_H(B)$$
and
$$\overline{\dim}_{box}(f(B)) \leq \overline{\dim}_{box}(B).$$
- For any subset B of Y we have
$$\overline{\dim}_{box}(B) = \overline{\dim}_{box}\left(\overline{B}\right).$$

We start our proof by proving the following strengthening of Lemma 2.1 for the case of the average along polynomial trajectories. Fix $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ to be some non-constant polynomial of degree d, and define the operator

$$A_N f(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(T^{p(i)} x\right).$$

3.4. **Lemma.** *Assume that (X,T) is polynomially mixing for f with rate α, then*

$$\|A_N f\|_{L^2(X,\mu)} \leq C \cdot N^{-\alpha''} \|f\|_{L^2(X,\mu)}$$

for $\alpha'' = \frac{1}{2}\min\{1, d\cdot\alpha\}$, and $C = C(f) > 0$ is an absolute constant which depends only on the polynomial mixing estimate for f.

Proof. The proof follows the computations demonstrated in Lemma 2.1. By similar computation as above,

$$\|A_N f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} \leq \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=0,\ n>m}^{N} \left\langle T^{p(n)-p(m)} f, f \right\rangle, \tag{3.1}$$

as $p(n)$ is a polynomial of degree d, we have that $p(n) - p(n-k) \geq k \cdot n^{d-1}$ for any $k < n$, using this estimate in the above equation yields -

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A_N f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} &\leq \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left\langle T^{k\cdot n^{d-1}} f, f \right\rangle \\
&\leq \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} C \cdot k^{-\alpha} n^{-\alpha\cdot(d-1)} \|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} \\
&\leq \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}}{N} + \frac{2C}{N^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} n^{1-d\cdot\alpha} \|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)} \\
&\leq (1+2C) \cdot \left(N^{-1} + N^{-d\cdot\alpha}\right) \|f\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

From now on, we fix $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\Gamma \leq G$ a lattice, $X = G/\Gamma$ and μ denotes the unique G-invariant probability measure on X. We begin with an auxiliary approximation lemma.

3.5. **Lemma.** *Let $f \in C_c(X)$ be a Lipschitz function. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a* K-finite *function $\tilde{f}$ for which*

$$\|f - \tilde{f}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{Lip} \cdot \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Denote for every positive integer L the *Fejer kernel* as

$$F_L(k) = \sum_{|j|\ \leq L} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{N}\right) e_j(k),$$

where $e_j(k) = e^{2\pi i j k}$. Define the following function -

$$g^{[-L,L]}(x) = \int_K f(k\cdot x) F_L(k) dk,$$

where the integration is done with respect to the Haar measure on K. For any given $x \in X$, $g^{[-L,L]}(x)$ converges pointwise to $f(x)$, by Fejer's theorem. Readily $g^{[-L,L]}$

is a K-finite function with $\dim \left\langle K \cdot g^{[-L,L]} \right\rangle \leq 2L+1$, as can be seen by extending $f(kx)$ to a Fourier series, and utilizing orthogonality of K-characters using the dominated convergence theorem. Moreover, we have the following estimate for the error of the Fejer kernel, as f is a Lipschitz function -

$$\left| g^{[-L,L]}(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \|f\|_{Lip} \cdot \frac{\log(L)}{L}.$$

Choosing L large enough and defining $\tilde{f} = g^{[-L,L]}$ we deduce the theorem. Furthermore, we see by Parseval's identity that $\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\mu)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}$. □

3.6. **Definition.** We say that a point $x \in X$ is (N,γ)-Good point for a given function f if $|A_N f(x)| \leq N^{-\gamma}$.

3.7. **Corollary.** *Assume that (X, u_t) is polynomial mixing with rate α for f. Define G_N^γ to be the set of all (N,γ)-Good points of X, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\mu\left(G_N^\gamma\right) \gtrsim_f 1 - N^{2\gamma+\epsilon-2\alpha''}.$$

The proof follows immediately from the polynomial mixing estimates of Lemma 3.4 and Chebyshev's inequality.

3.8. *Observation.* Assume that x is a (N,γ)-Good point for a Lipschitz function f, and $y \in X$ another point such that $d_X(x,y) < \delta$ for some $\delta \leq N^{-2d-\gamma}$, then y is a (N,γ')-Good point for any $\gamma' < \gamma - \log_N(C_f)$, where $C_f = 3\|f\|_{Lip}$.

Proof of observation. Write $y = hx$ for $h \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ where $h = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $|b|, |c| < \delta, |1-a|, |1-d| < \delta$. By computation -

$$u_{p(t)}.y = u_{p(t)}.hx \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \left(u_{p(t)} h u_{-p(t)}\right) \cdot \left(u_{p(t)}.x\right) \tag{3.3}$$

$$= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & p(t) \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -p(t) \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \left(u_{p(t)}.x\right) \tag{3.4}$$

$$= \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a+p(t)c & b+p(t)(d-a)-p(t)^2 c \\ c & d-p(t)c \end{smallmatrix}\right) \cdot \left(u_{p(t)}.x\right). \tag{3.5}$$

As $\delta < N^{-2d-\gamma}$ and the function f is a K-Lipschitz function, we have that $|f(u_{p(t)}.y) - f(u_{p(t)}.x)| \leq \frac{3\|f\|_{Lip}}{N^\gamma}$ for all $1 \leq t \leq N$, as $|b|, |c|, |1-a|, |1-d| \leq \delta$. □

We first describe the proof of Theorem 1.4 for the case where $X = G/\Gamma$ is *compact*, afterwards we indicate the necessary changes to address the general case.

We construct a packing as follows - define $B_N^{\gamma'}$ to be the complement of $G_N^{\gamma'}$, namely the set of points for which $A_N f(x)$ is larger than $N^{-\gamma'}$. An immediate corollary to the previous observation is the following isolation lemma -

3.9. **Lemma.** *Given N, for every $\gamma' < \gamma - \log_N(3\|f\|_{Lip})$ and for every $x \in B_N^{\gamma'}$ and every $\delta < N^{-2d-\gamma}$, we have that $B_\delta(x) \cap G_N^\gamma = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If not, by previous observation, x is a (N,γ')-Good point. □

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a covering of X by δ-balls. Let D be the number of δ-balls which contain a point from G_N^γ, by a volume packing consideration, we have that $D \geq \delta^{-3} \cdot \mu(G_N^\gamma)$, and combining it with corollary 3.7 we have $D \geq \delta^{-3}\left(1 - N^{2\gamma+\epsilon-2\alpha''}\right)$. Therefore , E, the number of δ-balls in the cover which do not contain a point from

G_N^γ satisfy $E \leq \delta^{-3}\left(N^{2\gamma+\epsilon-2\alpha''}\right)$, as μ is a 3-dimensional measure, assuming $\delta < N^{-2d-\gamma}$.

Now pick $\delta = N^{-2d-\gamma-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and bound E as $E \leq N^{6d+5\gamma-2\alpha''+5\epsilon}$, which in turn leads to a packing ratio of

$$-\frac{\log(E)}{\log\left(N^{-2d-\gamma-\epsilon}\right)} = \frac{6d+5\gamma-2\alpha''+5\epsilon}{2d+\gamma+\epsilon} = 3 - \frac{2\alpha''-2\gamma-2\epsilon}{2d+\gamma+\epsilon}. \tag{3.6}$$

As we let ϵ to tend to 0, we deduce that for every small enough γ

$$\overline{\dim}_{box}\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \limsup_N \frac{|A_N f(x)|}{N^{-\gamma}} > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \frac{2\alpha''-2\gamma}{2d+\gamma}. \tag{3.7}$$

By taking γ to 0 along a countable subsequence, we deduce that

$$\overline{\dim}_p\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \limsup_N |A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \frac{\alpha''}{d}. \tag{3.8}$$

As the packing dimension dominates the Hausdorff dimension we clearly have

$$\dim_H\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \overline{\lim}|A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \frac{\alpha''}{d},$$

finishing the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of compact X. When X is not compact, we cover X by countably many compact subsets $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, for each X_i we construct a covering argument as indicated above, resulting in a bound of the form

$$\overline{\dim}_p\left(\left\{x \in X_i \mid \overline{\lim}|A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \frac{\alpha''}{d}$$

and using the monotonicity property of the packing dimension -

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\dim}_p\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \overline{\lim}|A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) &= \overline{\dim}_p\left(\cup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\left\{x \in X_i \mid \overline{\lim}|A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \\ &\leq 3 - \frac{\alpha''}{d},\end{aligned}$$

and again by using the the fact that the packing dimension dominates the Hausdorff dimension, this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the general case.

For the proof of Corollary 1.5, we use an approximation argument combined with known estimates of decay of matrix coefficients.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Given f a Lipschitz function of bounded support, we introduce a K-finite approximation $f^{N,\gamma}$ which satisfy $\|f - f^{N,\gamma}\|_{L^\infty} \leq N^{-\gamma}$, by examining the proof of Lemma 3.5 we can obtain such a K-finite function $f^{N,\gamma}$ whose K-span subspace satisfies $\dim\langle K.f^{N,\gamma}\rangle \leq 2N^{\gamma+\epsilon}+1$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\left\{x \in X \mid |A_N f(x)| \geq \frac{2}{N^\gamma}\right\} &\leq \mu\left\{x \in X \mid \left|A_N f^{N,\gamma}(x)\right| \geq \frac{1}{N^\gamma}\right\} \\ &\leq N^{2\gamma}\left\|A_N f^{N,\gamma}\right\|^2_{L^2(X,\mu)}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By using well-known bounds towards decay of matrix coefficients of *K-finite vectors* cf. [27, Equations (9.1),(9.4),(9.6)], we have that

$$|\langle u_t f, f\rangle| \leq \dim\langle K.f\rangle\,(1+|t|)^{-\Re(s_1)}\,\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)} \tag{3.10}$$

for every K-finite f, where $\lambda_1 = s_1(1-s_1)$ is the first non-trivial eigenvalue of the Laplacian. Hence in our notations of Lemma 3.4, we have a mixing rate estimate of

$$\alpha'' = \min\{1/2, d \cdot \Re(s_1)\}$$

with a constant $C = C(f) = \sqrt{1 + 2\dim\langle K.f\rangle} \leq \sqrt{3\dim\langle K.f\rangle}$. Therefore the estimate for $\mu\left\{x \in X \mid |A_N f^{N,\gamma}(x)| \geq \frac{1}{N^\gamma}\right\}$ given in Corollary 3.7 takes the form of

(3.11)
$$\begin{aligned}\mu\left\{x \in X \mid \left|A_N f^{N,\gamma}(x)\right| \geq \frac{1}{N^\gamma}\right\} &\leq N^{2\gamma} N^{-2\alpha''} 3\dim\left\langle K.f^{N,\gamma}\right\rangle \|f^{N,\gamma}\|^2_{L^2(m)} \\ &\leq N^{3\gamma+2\epsilon-2\alpha''}\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}.\end{aligned}$$

Continuing verbatim as the proof of Theorem 1.4, we conclude that -

$$\dim_H\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \overline{\lim}|A_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \frac{\alpha''}{d}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\alpha'' = (1/2)\min\{1, d\cdot\Re(s_1)\}$. □

Specifically, for the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})/SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and under the Selberg-Ramanujan conjecture for any homogeneous space which is given by a quotient with a principal congruence subgroup, we have $\Re(s_1) = 1/2$ for the average along the squares, resulting in exceptional set of dimension less than $3 - \frac{1}{4} = 2.75$. The best known bound today, due to Kim-Sarnak [15], amounts to $\Re(s_1) \geq 1/2 - 7/64$. As for any $d > 2$ this bound satisfies $d\cdot\Re s_1 \geq 1$, we conclude that the bound for the exceptional set which amounts to the Selberg-Ramanujan bound is attained *unconditionally* for polynomials of degree 3 and higher. For quadratic polynomials, we have a bound of $3 - \frac{25}{128}$ $2.804\ldots$.

We now demonstrate a related result along the lines described above regarding averages over the primes in a unipotent orbit. For $f \in L^2_0(X)$ a Lipschitz function, we denote

$$P_N f(x_0) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} f(u_{p_n}.x_0),$$

where p_n denote the n'th prime number.

3.10. **Theorem.** $\dim_H\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \overline{\lim}|P_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3-\sigma$, *where* $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\min\{1, \Re(s_1))\}$ *for* s_1 *which satisfy* $\lambda_1 = s_1(1-s_1)$ *where* λ_1 *is the first non-zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on* X.

Proof. By explicit computation we have -

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_N f(x)\|^2_{L^2(X)} &= \frac{1}{N^2}\sum_{n,m=1}^{N}\langle u_{p_n}.f, u_{p_m}.f\rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|^2_{L^2(X)}}{N} + \frac{2}{N^2}\sum_{k=1}^{2N\log(N)} d_{2N\log(N)}(k)\langle u_k f, f\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $d_M(k) = |\{p_1, p_2 \leq M, p_1, p_2 \text{ primes} \mid k = p_2 - p_1\}|$. By the Bombieri-Davenport theorem ([12, Theorem 3.11]), we have the following bound for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_M(k) &\leq 8 \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{2<p|M} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{M}{\log^2(M)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log\log(M)}{\log(M)}\right)\right) \\ &\leq 16 \log\log(3M) \frac{M}{\log^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can estimate the second summand in (3.13) as -

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^{2N\log(N)} d_{2N\log(N)}(k) \langle u_k f, f\rangle &\leq \frac{64 \log\log(6N\log(N))\log(N)}{N\log^2(2N\log(N))} \sum_{k=1}^{2N\log(N)} k^{-s} \|f\|^2_{L^2(X)} \\ &\ll N^{-s+\epsilon} \|f\|^2_{L^2(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\alpha''' = \frac{1}{2}\min\{1, s-\epsilon\}$, then the above computation shows

$$\|P_N f\|_{L^2(X)} \leq N^{-\alpha'''} \|f\|_{L^2(X)}.$$

We define the set of (N,γ)-Good points G_N^γ to be all the points $x \in X$ for which $|P_N f(x)| \leq N^{-\gamma}$. We have $\mu(G_N^\gamma) \geq 1 - N^{2\gamma+\epsilon-2\alpha'''}$. Following the same proof of the observation, together we the fact that $p_n \ll n^{1+\epsilon}$ for every $\epsilon > 0$, we deduce that for balls of radius $\delta \leq N^{-2-\epsilon-\gamma}$ surrounding (N,γ)-Good points consists of (N,γ') good points for $\gamma' < \gamma - 3\log_N(C_f)$. Using the packing argument as described above yields the following bound for the Hausdorff dimension

$$\dim_H\left(\left\{x \in X \mid \overline{\lim}|P_N f(x)| > 0\right\}\right) \leq 3 - \alpha''' \leq 3 - \frac{s}{2} + \epsilon.$$

As the above bound holds for any $\epsilon > 0$, we conclude the theorem. □

4. Removing the dependence on the spectral gap

In this section we address the issue of achieving spectral-gap free estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set. Unlike the previous sections, the results we present in this section are specialized for $G = SL_2$. In the next section we show how to deduce a suitable theorem for general groups based upon this result.

We begin by introducing some required background about representation theory of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

4.1. Background from representation theory and construction of a model.

The well-known classification of unitary irreducible representations of $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $G = PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ([16, Section 2.5], [4, Theorem 2.6.7]) asserts that any such representation $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$ belongs to one of the following classes:

(1) The trivial representation.
(2) Discrete series representation.
(3) Limits of Discrete series representation.
(4) Principal series representation.
(5) Complementary series representation.

where principal series representations are representations which are formed by parabolic induction of a unitary character of the Cartan subgroup, and complementary series representations are formed by parabolic induction of a non-unitary character

of the Cartan subgroup. The representations are indexed by their Casimir eigenvalue. Amongst the representations, the only ones which admit a spherical vector are the trivial one, principal and complementary series representations.

We say that a representation $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$ is *G-tempered* if it is weakly contained in the left regular representation $L^2(G)$. In practice in order to conclude whether a representation is tempered or not, it is enough to consider the integrability of matrix coefficients of K-finite vectors (c.f. [16, Theorem 8.53]). By the asymptotic bounds for matrix coefficients ([16, Theorem 8.47.b] and [27, equation (9.6)]), we conclude that the *G-tempered* representations are the discrete series, limits of discrete series and principal series representations. Hence for a tempered representation $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$, the decay of its matrix coefficients is majorized by the Harish-Chandra bound.

For a lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ we view the space $L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$ as a G-representation space, we decompose the space as follows - $L^2_0(G/\Gamma) = V_{\text{tempered}} \oplus V_{\text{non-tempered}}$, where V_{tempered} consists of all the G-tempered representations which are weakly-contained in $L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$, and $V_{\text{non-tempered}}$ is the ortho-complement space. It is known that $V_{\text{non-tempered}}$ consists of finitely many summands, each of which is isomorphic to a complementary series representation with spectral parameter $s \in (0, 1/2)$ where we write the Laplacian eigenvalue as $\lambda = s(1-s)$. All the representations which occur in V_{tempered} have a spectral parameter s satisfying $\Re(s) = 1/2$. In view of the results from the previous section, for any smooth $f \in V_{\text{tempered}}$ we have a uniform bound on the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set. So from now on, we will assume we are given $f \in V_{\text{non-tempered}}$.

The *line model* ([10, §3.1, Equation 9]) for principal series representation with spectral parameter s is the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \text{Lebesgue})$, equipped with the action -

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.f(x) = |-bx+d|^{-2s} f\left(\frac{ax-c}{-bx+d}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

In order to define a line model for the complementary series representation with spectral parameter s, we define the following bi-linear form on the space $L^1_{Loc}(\mathbb{R})$ -

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x) \int_{y=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f_2(y)} |x-y|^{2s-2} dy dx. \tag{4.2}$$

While it is not evident from the definition of this bilinear form, this is an hermitian form ([10, §3.2, Equation 3], and completing it to a Hilbert space gives rise to an irreducible unitary G-representation isomorphic to the complementary series representation of parameter s equipped with the action given in (4.1).

A closely related model to the line model is the Kirillov model, given by preforming a Fourier transform on the function space. The resulting inner product in the complementary series representation case is given by -

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_1(t) \overline{\hat{f}_2(t)} |t|^{1-2s} dt. \tag{4.3}$$

As the U-action in the line model is given by translation, in the Kirillov model the action will be given by a multiplier, namely

$$u_n.\hat{f}(t) = e^{2\pi i n t} \hat{f}(t).$$

We caution the reader that our spectral parameter s is different from the one taken in [10], as we write the Laplacian eigenvalues differently.

4.2. **Bounding the exceptional set via estimates on exponential sums and oscillatory integrals.** In the previous section, the method to bound the dimension of the exceptional set relied on two components - effective mixing estimate and a geometric estimate arising from polynomial divergence. As the mixing rate depends on the specific lattice and its spectral gap, we will change the operator whose norm we are bounding. For a given non-constant polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d, we define the following operator - $B_N f(x) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(u_{p(n)}.x) - \frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(n)}.x)dn$ where $f \in L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$. For the *continuous time* average, equidistribution theorems applies (c.f. Lemma 4.14, and [24, Corollary 1.1]) for every *non U-periodic* point $x \in G/\Gamma$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(n)}.x)dn \to 0,$$

as N tends to ∞. Moreover, one can get a quantitative version of the equidistribution theorem which will decay in a rate related to the spectral mixing rate (cf. [5] Theorem 2, [25] Theorem 1). One may view the operator B_N defined above as an operator which bounds a second term rate for the discrete average, assuming that the main term of the discrete average decays like the continuous average. We will show an analogues estimate to Corollary 3.7 for the operator B_N which is independent of the spectral gap $s(\Gamma)$. As the geometrical estimate given in Observation 3.8 works also for comparing the integral averages of two nearby points $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(n)}.x)dn, \frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(n)}.y)dn$, the rest of the packing argument will work exactly the same.

We remark here that by the explicit spectral resolution of $L^2(G/\Gamma)$ obtained by Selberg, every homogeneous space $X = G/\Gamma$ have a spectral gap $s = s(\Gamma) > 0$. This spectral parameter s will appear in two forms in the proceeding discussion - first - as a parameter which controls the decay rate of certain functions appearing in complementary series representations which are weakly contained in $L^2(X)$ and second - as a normalizing factor for those functions. As we are interested in polynomial estimates for $\|B_N f\|_{L^2(X)}$, we will show that we can let s tend to 0 in the decay rate, while keeping the normalizing factor constant (as this constant will disappear in the computation of the actual Hausdorff dimension, and for a given lattice Γ, this constant is a fixed non-zero number).

We begin with several auxiliary lemmas regarding estimates of exponential sums, oscillatory integrals and Fourier transforms of basis vectors of a given SL_2-representation V_s from the complementary series.

4.1. **Lemma.** *Fix some $\alpha > 0$. For every $N > 0$ and $|t| \leq N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}$ we have*

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i p(n)t} - \frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right| \leq O\left(N^{-\alpha}\right).$$

Proof. We have the following bound -

$$\left|e^{2\pi i p(n)t} - \int_{h=0}^1 e^{2\pi i p(n+h)t}dh\right| \leq \max_{h\in[0,1]}\left|e^{2\pi i p(n)t} - e^{2\pi i p(n+h)t}\right|,$$

using the mean-value theorem we can estimate this difference by $4\pi|t|\cdot\max_{h\in[0,1]}|p'(n+h)|$. Rewriting the expression above as

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(e^{2\pi i p(n)t} - \int_{h=0}^1 e^{2\pi i p(n+h)t}dh\right)\right|,$$

we deduce the following bound -

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(e^{2\pi ip(n)t}-\int_{h=0}^{1}e^{2\pi ip(n+h)t}dh\right)\right|\leq\frac{4\pi|t|}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\max_{h\in[0,1]}|p'(n+h)|$$
$$=4\pi|t|\cdot O_p\left(N^{d-1}\right).$$

Choosing t accordingly, we get the desired bound. □

4.2. **Lemma.** *For every non-zero t we have $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn=O_p\left(\frac{1}{N|t|^{1/d}}\right)$.*

Proof. Fixing N, from the fact that $p^{(d)}(n)\equiv const\neq 0$ and the van-der-Corput lemma for the method of stationary-phase (c.f. [14, Lemma 8.2]) we deduce that $\int_0^N e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn=O_p\left(|t|^{-1/d}\right)$, hence the claim follows. □

4.3. **Corollary.** *For any $|t|\geq N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}$ we have $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn=O\left(N^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{d}}\right)$.*

We would need the following estimates regarding the Fourier transform of basis vectors in the line model of complementary series -

4.4. **Proposition.** *Let $f_0(x)=\frac{1}{(x^2+1)^s}$ be the spherical basis vector for a given SL_2-representation of spectral parameter $0<s<\frac{1}{2}$. Then we have the following expression for its Fourier transform -*

$$\hat{f}_0(t)=\frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(s)2^{s-1/2}}\cdot t^{s-1/2}K_{s-1/2}(t),$$

where $K_v(t)$ stands for the modified Bessel function which defined as

$$K_v(t)=\ C(I_v(t)+\ I_{-v}(t)).$$

Proof. By the formula for Fourier transform we have -

$$\hat{f}_0(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{2\pi ixt}}{(x^2+1)^s}dx=2\int_{x=0}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(xt)}{(x^2+1)^s}dx.$$

Using Basset's integral representation of the modified Bessel function (c.f. [28, §6.16, Equation 1])

$$K_\nu(t)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)2^\nu}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}t^\nu}\int_{x=0}^{\infty}\frac{\cos(xt)}{(x^2+1)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}}dx,\tag{4.4}$$

we get $\hat{f}_0(t)=\frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(s)2^{s-1/2}}\cdot t^{s-1/2}K_{s-1/2}(t)$. □

Using the explicit series expansion of the Bessel function [28, §3.7, Equations 2, 6] we have the following estimate -

4.5. **Lemma** (Asymptotics of spherical function near 0)**.** *For $0<t<1$,*

$$|\hat{f}_0(t)|\lesssim_s t^{2s-1}.$$

Furthermore, using the asymptotics for the Bessel function near ∞ (c.f. [28, 7.23, Equation 1] we infer the following -

4.6. **Lemma** (Asymptotics of spherical function near ∞)**.** *For $t\gg 1$,*

$$\hat{f}_0(t)\approx t^{s-1}e^{-t}.$$

Moreover, we obtain similar estimates for any other basis vector as well, informally such a result follows from the fact that the decay rate at infinity of all the other basis vectors are the same as the spherical vectors, hence the asymptotics near zero of their Fourier transforms behave the same -

4.7. **Lemma.** *Let n be an integer and f_n be the weight n vector in the complementary series representation V_s, for $0 < t < 1$ we have $|\hat{f}_n(t)| \lesssim t^{2s-1}$.*

Proof. The formula for vector of weight n in V_s is given by -

$$f_n(x) = \left(\frac{x-i}{x+i}\right)^n \cdot \frac{1}{(x^2+1)^s}. \tag{4.5}$$

Rewriting this expression as either $\frac{(x-i)^{2n}}{(x^2+1)^{n+s}}$ or $\frac{(x+i)^{2n}}{(x^2+1)^{n+s}}$, according to the sign of n, we compute the following formula for the Fourier transform, based on Basset's integral representation

$$\hat{f}_n(t) = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\Gamma(2n+s)2^{2n+s-1/2}} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \pm i\right)^{2n} \cdot t^{n+s-1/2} K_{n+s-1/2}(t). \tag{4.6}$$

By the connection formulas for the derivative of the Bessel function ([28, §3.71, Equations 5, 6]) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}\frac{d}{dt}\right)^m t^v K_v(t) = (-1)^m t^{v-m} K_{v-m}(t),$$

therefore we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} P(t) t^v K_v(t) = P'(t) t^v K_v(t) - P(t) t^v K_{v-1}(t)$$

for any polynomial $P(t)$. Using the asymptotics of the Bessel functions $K_v(t)$ and the explicit expression for the Fourier transform (4.6) we see that

$$t^{n+s-1/2} K_{n+s-1/2-2n} \lesssim_s t^{2s-1}$$

and the other terms in the expression are of powers higher or equal to $2s-1$, hence the claim follows. □

As the asymptotics of the Bessel functions $K_v(t)$ are uniform in v, using the expansion derived in the previous lemma, we obtain the following estimate

4.8. **Lemma.** *For $t \gg 1$,*

$$|\hat{f}_n(t)| \approx t^{|n|+s-1} e^{-t}.$$

We would also need some results regarding moments of exponential sums.

4.9. **Definition.** We say that a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d has *q-moment cancellation with level ℓ* if the following inequality holds for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i p(n) t}}{N} \right|^q \ll_\epsilon N^{-(\ell-\epsilon)}. \tag{4.7}$$

The following lemma follows immediately from the definition.

4.10. **Lemma** (Moment bound)**.** *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial which has q-moment cancellation with level ℓ, then for every $\beta > 0, \epsilon > 0$ we have -*

$$\int_0^{N^\beta} \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i p(n) t}}{N} \right|^q dt \ll_\epsilon N^{-\ell+\beta+\epsilon}.$$

We would be interested in small values of q for which a given polynomial p has q-moment cancellation with level strictly larger than $\deg(p)-1$.

Hua's bound [13] shows that any integer polynomial of degree d has 2^d-moment cancellation with level d. Recently Bourgain[3, Theorem 10] improved upon Hua's bound and obtained that any monomial $p(x)=x^d$ has $d(d+1)$-moment cancellation with level d.

Now we are ready to present the main estimate for this subsection.

4.11. **Theorem.** *Assume that f is a K-finite function which belongs to a complementary series representation of parameter s occurring in $L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$ with $\|f\|_{L^2(G/\Gamma)}=1$, then we have $\|B_N f\|_{L^2(G/\Gamma)} \ll_f N^{-\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$ which is independent of s. In particular one may take any $\delta<\frac{1}{2q+1}$ where the polynomial $p(x)$ has $2q$-moment cancellation with level d.*

Proof of Theorem 4.11. As f is K-finite, we can write f in a K-spherical Fourier decomposition

$$f(x)=\sum_{n=-L}^{L} a_n f_n(x)$$

for some fixed $L>0$. Using the Kirillov model for complementary series representation, we have the following expression for $\|B_N f\|_{L^2(G/\Gamma)}$

$$\|B_N f\|^2_{L^2(G/\Gamma)}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt. \tag{4.8}$$

We split the integral as follows -

(4.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_N f\|^2_{L^2(G/\Gamma)}=&\int_{-N^\beta}^{N^\beta}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt\\&+\int_{|t|\geq N^\beta}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt.\end{aligned}$$

We further refine the dissection of the first term -

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-N^\beta}^{N^\beta}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt=\\&\int_{|t|\leq N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt+\\&\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^\beta}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

For the first summand, using Lemma 4.1 we get

(4.11)

$$\int_{|t|\leq N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi i p(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi i p(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt\leq N^{-2\alpha}\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}.$$

For the second summand, we first use a trivial bound -

$$\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_{0}^{N}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt\leq$$

$$2\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt$$

$$+2\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\int_{0}^{N}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 for the later summand, we infer -

(4.12)

$$\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_{0}^{N}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt\ll_{\gamma,\beta}$$

$$\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt+O\left(N^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{d}}\right)\|f\|_{L^{2}(m)}^{2}.$$

Choose $q>1$ such that $p(x)$ has $2q$-moment cancellation with level d, and let q' be its Holder conjugate. Using Holder's inequality -

(4.13)

$$\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}\right|^{2}|\hat{f}|^{2}|t|^{1-2s}dt\leq$$

$$\left(\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}\right|^{2q}dt\right)^{1/q}\left(\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}|\hat{f}|^{2q'}|t|^{q'(1-2s)}dt\right)^{1/q'}.$$

By explicit computation using Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.7 we have for $f=f_n$ a given basis vector, for $0<t<1$

$$|\hat{f}(t)|^{2q'}\lesssim_{s}|t|^{2q'(2s-1)},$$

and for $t>1$

$$|\hat{f}(t)|^{2q'}\lesssim_{s}|t|^{2q'(L+s-1)}e^{-2q't},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}|\hat{f}|^{2q'}|t|^{q'(1-2s)}dt&\ll_{f}\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq1}|t^{2s-1}|^{2q'}|t|^{q'(1-2s)}dt\\&+\int_{1\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}|t^{L+s-1}e^{-t}|^{2q'}|t|^{q'(1-2s)}dt\\&=\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq1}t^{q'(2s-1)}dt+\int_{1\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}t^{2q'L+q}e^{-2q't}dt\\&\leq N^{((d-1)+\alpha)(q'(1-2s)-1)}+(2q)^{-(2q'L+q'+1)}\Gamma(2q'L+q'+1).\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that N^{β} is much larger than L the above computations lead to a bound of the form -

(4.14)
$$\left(\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}|\hat{f}|^{2q'}|t|^{q'(1-2s)}dt\right)^{1/q'}\ll N^{((d-1)+\alpha)(q'-1)/q'}=N^{((d-1)+\alpha)/q}$$

combining the above with Lemma 4.10 we can conclude the following bound -

$$\int_{N^{-((d-1)+\alpha)}\leq|t|\leq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}\right|^2|\hat{f}|^2|t|^{(1-2s)}dt\ll N^{-\frac{d-\epsilon-\beta}{q}+\frac{(d-1)+\alpha}{q}}=N^{-\frac{1-\alpha-\epsilon}{q}}. \tag{4.15}$$

For the remaining integral in (4.9), we use the asymptotics derived in Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.8, and the assumption we are given basis vectors with weights in $[-L,L]$ to deduce -

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}e^{2\pi ip(n)t}-\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N e^{2\pi ip(n)t}dn\right|^2|\hat{f}(t)|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt&\\ \leq 2\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}|\hat{f}(t)|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt&\\ \leq 2\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}|\sum_{i=-L}^{L}\alpha_i\hat{f}_i|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt&\\ \leq 4\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}\sum_{i=-L}^{L}|\alpha_i|^2|\hat{f}_i(t)|^2|t|^{1-2s}dt&\\ \leq 4\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}\sum_{i=-L}^{L}|\alpha_i|^2|t|^{2(L+s-1)}|t|^{1-2s}dt&\\ =4\int_{|t|\geq N^{\beta}}|t|^{2L-1}e^{-2|t|}dt\cdot\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}&\\ =2^{2L}\Gamma\left(2L-1,2N^{\beta}\right)\cdot\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)},&\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\Gamma(s,x)$ stands for the incomplete Gamma function

$$\Gamma(s,x)=\int_x^{\infty}t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt.$$

Using the asymptotics $\Gamma(s,x)\approx x^{s-1}e^{-x}$ for $x\to\infty$, we deduce that the integral is bounded by $2^{2L}(2N^{\beta})^{2L-1}e^{-N^{\beta}}\cdot\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}\leq N^{4L\beta}e^{-N^{\beta}}\cdot\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}$.

Combining (4.9),(4.11),(4.15) and (4.16) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_N(f)\|^2_{L^2(m)}/\|f\|^2_{L^2(m)}&\ll N^{-2\alpha}\\ &+\left(N^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{d}}+N^{-\frac{1-\alpha-\epsilon}{q}}\right)\\ &+N^{4\beta L}e^{-N^{\beta}},\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

As L is fixed, choosing $\alpha<1$ and β,ε small enough, we deduce the estimate. One possible choice for the parameters is to take $\alpha\approx 1/(2q+1)$, and $\beta,\varepsilon\approx 0$ in

order to get any $\delta < \frac{1}{2q+1}$ in Theorem 4.11. In particular in view of Hua's bound, we may take any $\delta < \frac{1}{2^d+1}$.

□

4.12. *Remark.* In the case of monomials $p(x) = x^d$ of degree of larger than 6, Bourgain's improvement is suprior to Hua's bound, and shows it is enough to consider $q = d(d+1)/2$ in the above proof, leading to a bound of the form $N^{-\frac{1-\alpha-\epsilon}{d(d+1)/2}}$ in (4.15), resulting in an estimate of $\frac{1}{d(d+1)+1}$ for δ.

Moreover, the above proof shows that it is enough to consider q such that $p(x)$ has $2q$-moment cancellation with level strictly larger than $d-1$, and not necessarily level d, although such q will reflect on α.

Using Theorem 4.11, the following corollary follows from Chebychev's inequality just like in Corollary 3.7 -

4.13. **Corollary.** *Let $f \in L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$ be a K-finite function with $\|f\|_{L^2} = 1$ then the set G^γ_N which consists of the (N,γ)-Good points in X satisfy $\mu(G^\gamma_N) \geq 1 - N^{2\gamma+2\epsilon-2\delta}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.*

Using Observation 3.8 (note that the same Lipschitz estimate for pointwise comparison of the averages along the orbits holds for the integral as well), we use Lemma 3.9 and continue to construct a packing argument as in §3 in order to have the following estimate -

(4.18)
$$\dim_H \left(x \in X \mid \overline{\lim} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x\right) - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt \right| > 0 \right) \leq 3 - \frac{\delta}{d},$$

for any $\delta < \frac{1}{2q+1}$, where p is $2q$-moments cancellation of level d, for K-finite f.

Now assuming that f is smooth, we have rapid decay of the K-Fourier coefficients and $\|f - f^{[-L,L]}\|_\infty \to 0$ as L tends to ∞. Therefore arguing similarly to (3.11), given $x \in X$ so that $\overline{\lim}|B_N f(x)| > \varepsilon$, we choose L large enough so that $\|f - f^{[-L,L]}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon/3$. Noticing that

$$|B_N(f(x) - f^{[-L,L]}(x))| \leq 2\|f - f^{[-L,L]}\|_\infty,$$

we have for that $x \in X$ that $\overline{\lim}\left|B_N f^{[-L,L]}\right| \geq \varepsilon/3$, therefore

$$\mu\left\{x \in X \mid |B_N f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \mu\left\{x \in X \mid \left|B_N f^{[-L,L]}(x)\right| \geq \varepsilon/3\right\} \leq N^{2\epsilon-2\delta}. \tag{4.19}$$

Continuing in similar fashion to the proof of Corollary 1.5 we have the following estimate

(4.20)
$$\dim_H \left(x \in X \mid \overline{\lim} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x\right) - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt \right| > 0 \right) \leq 3 - \frac{\delta}{d},$$

for any δ which is admissible for the estimate proven in Theorem 4.11. In particular when p is a monomial $p(x) = x^d$ we may take $\delta < \max\left\{\frac{1}{2^d+1}, \frac{1}{d(d+1)+1}\right\}$.

4.14. **Lemma.** *Assume that f is a smooth function on X with $\int_X f d\mu = 0$, then for any non-U-periodic point $x_0 \in X$ we have $\lim_{T\to 0} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(u_{p(t)}.x_0)dt = 0$.*

Proof. To ease notation, we assume that the leading coefficient of p equals to 1, the computation involved in the general case is similar. We write the ergodic average as follows -

$$\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x_0)dt = \frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x_0)\frac{p'(t)dt}{p'(t)},$$

substituting $s = p(t)$, we get $p'(t) \asymp s^{(d-1)/d}$, resulting in the following integral -

$$\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x_0)\frac{p'(t)dt}{p'(t)} = \frac{1}{N}\int_0^{p(N)} f(u_s.x_0)\frac{ds}{s^{(d-1)/d}} + O_f\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Using integration by parts we have

(4.21)

$$\frac{1}{N}\int_0^{p(N)} f(u_s.x_0)\frac{ds}{s^{(d-1)/d}} = \frac{1}{N}\frac{F(p(N))}{(p(N))^{(d-1)/d}} + \frac{d-1}{dN}\int_0^{p(N)}\frac{F(s)}{s}\frac{ds}{s^{(d-1)/d}},$$

where $F(S) = \int_0^S f(u_t.x_0)dt$. By the Dani-Smillie theorem we have

$$\lim_{T\to 0}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(u_t.x_0)dt = \ 0,$$

or equivalently $F(S) = o(S)$, hence the result follows as $N(p(N))^{(d-1)/d} \asymp p(N)$. □

By the previous Lemma, we see that *except for U-periodic points*, $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$, hence by the inequality -

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x\right)\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x\right) - \frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt\right| + \left|\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt\right|,$$

we deduce that -

(4.22)

$$\dim_H\left(x \in Y \mid \overline{\lim}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x\right)\right| > 0\right) = \dim_H\left(x \in Y \mid \overline{\lim}\,|B_N f(x)| > 0\right)$$
$$\leq 3 - \frac{\delta}{d},$$

where $Y \subset X$ stands for the set of U-generic points, for all δ which are admissible for the estimate of Theorem 4.11. We may write the exceptional set E as $E = (E \cap Y) \cup (E \cap Y^c)$. Y^c consists of the non-U-generic points (if those even exists, namely the lattice Γ is non-uniform), which in the case of SL_2 are U-periodic points which form a *two-dimensional "tube"*, hence $\dim_H(E \cap Y^c) \leq \dim_H(Y^c) = 2$. For a point $x \in E \cap Y$, we have that $\frac{1}{N}\int_0^N f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt \to 0$, hence we can deduce the following bound $\dim_H(E \cap Y) \leq 3 - \frac{\delta}{d}$, using a union bound for the Hausdorff dimension, we deduce the following bound for the whole exceptional set

$$\dim_H(E) \leq \max\left\{3 - \frac{\delta}{d}, 2\right\}.$$

In particular, for $p(n) = n^2$, we have $3 - \frac{1}{10} = 2.9$ as an upper bound for the exceptional set of convergence of square averages, for any lattice $\Gamma \leq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

5. Bounding exceptional sets for unipotent flows in general Lie groups

In this section we show how to get a general bound for the exceptional set of one-parameter unipotent flows in general Lie groups, based on the results of the prior sections.

Let G be a real semi-simple linear Lie group, let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a *lattice* in G, and let $U = \{u_t\}$ be a one-paramter unipotent group where $u_t = exp(t \cdot N)$ for some nilpotent element $N \in Lie(G)$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree d, and for every continuous function f with compact support on G/Γ, denote by A_N the following averaging operator -

$$A_N f(x_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f\left(u_{p(n)}.x_0\right).$$

By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem (see [17], Theorem 10.3), we can complete N into a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triplet, denote the subgroup generated by this $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triplet under the exponential map by L which satisfies either $L \simeq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $L \simeq PGL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $(\rho, \mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of G. We can restrict ρ to L and get a unitary representation of L, $\rho\mid_L$. As L is semi-simple, we can write $\mathcal{H}$ as a direct integral over irreducible unitary L-representations $\{V_s\}$ as follows - $\mathcal{H} = \int^{\oplus} V_s d\mu(s)$ for a suitable spectral measure μ. We have the following Parsaval-type formula for a vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$ -

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int \|\pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2 d\mu(s),$$

where $\pi_s : \mathcal{H} \to V_s$ is the associated projection operator. As each V_s is L-invariant, we can decompose the operator A_N across the irreducible L-constitutes -

$$\|A_N v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int \|A_N \pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2 d\mu(s). \tag{5.1}$$

Using Corollary 1.5, we have that for each constituent in the decomposition and a K-finite vector v -

$$\|A_N \pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2 \leq N^{-2s'} \|\pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2,$$

for some s' which satisfy $s' \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, (d-1)s_1\right\}$, where s_1 is the spectral gap of V_s as L-representation, such a bound follows from the Harish-Chandra bound ([27, Lemma 9.1]). Hence

(5.2)

$$\|A_N v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq \int N^{-2s'} \|\pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2 d\mu(s) \leq N^{-2s''} \int \|\pi_s(v)\|_{V_s}^2 d\mu(s) = N^{-2s''} \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.$$

We say that u_t is of *degree* ℓ if every polynomial entry in variable t of u_t is a polynomial of degree less or equal to ℓ and ℓ is the minimal natural number with that property. We denote $\ell = \deg(U)$. A computation analogous to the computation done in Observation 3.8, shows that for every matrix h, the entries of $ad_{u_t}(h)$ are polynomials of degree at-most 2ℓ, hence we can conclude the following observation -

5.1. *Observation.* Assume that $x, y \in G/\Gamma$ such that x is a (N, γ)-Good point, and $d(x, y) \leq N^{-2\ell \cdot d - \gamma}$, then y is (N, γ')-Good, for any $\gamma' < \gamma - \log_N(\dim(G)\|f\|_{Lip})$.

Continuing in a similar manner to the one described in §3, one conclude an upper bound for the exceptional set of form $\dim(G) - \frac{s}{d \cdot \ell}$, where $s = s(G, \Gamma)$ is related to the spectral gap of the representation of G on $L_0^2(G/\Gamma)$.

Now, building upon the results of §4, we are going to remove the dependence in the spectral gap. We say that a point $x \in X$ is *U-generic* for a one-parameter subgroup $U = u_t \leq G$ if for every $f \in C_c(X)$ we have $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T f(u_t.x)dt = \int_X f d\mu(X)$ where μ is the unique probability measure on X induced from the Haar measure on G.

Define I_N to be the following operator - $I_N f(x) = \frac{1}{N}\int_{t=0}^{N} f(u_{p(t)}.x)dt$. We clearly have the following inequality -

$$\limsup \|A_N f\|_{L^2(m)} \leq \limsup \|A_N f - I_N f\|_{L^2(m)} + \limsup \|I_N f\|_{L^2(m)}.$$

Similar to Lemma 4.14, we conclude that $I_N f(x) \to 0$ for every U-generic point x.

5.2. **Lemma.** *The non-U-generic points $x \in G/\Gamma$ are contained inside a countable union of varieties of co-dimension 1, and in-particular*

$$\dim_H \{x \in G/\Gamma \mid x \text{ is not } U\text{-generic}\} \leq \dim(G) - 1.$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the collection of all closed connected subgroups H of G such that $H \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in H and the subgroup S generated by all the unipotent one-parameter subgroups of G contained in H acts ergodically on $H\Gamma/\Gamma$ with respect to the H-invariant probability measure (i.e. $S = \mathrm{Stab}(\mu)$ for some homogeneous u_t-invariant measure μ). By [20, Corollary $A.(2)$] or [6, Proposition 2.1], there exists only countably many subgroups H in $\mathcal{H}$. Let W be a subgroup of G generated by one-parameter unipotent subgroups of G which are contained inside W. For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ we define the following sets -

$$N(H, W) = \left\{g \in G \mid W \subset gHg^{-1}\right\},$$
$$S(H, W) = \bigcup_{H' \in \mathcal{H}, H' \subset H, H' \neq H} N(H', W),$$

and we define the "tube" with respect to a subgroup W as follows

$$T_H(W) = \pi(N(H, W)) \setminus \pi(S(H, W)),$$

where $\pi : G \to G/\Gamma$ is the natural projection map. For any $g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$ such that $g\Gamma \in T_H(W)$, the subgroup gHg^{-1} equals to the stability group of the homogeneous measure which is supported on the orbit $\overline{W.(g\Gamma)} \subset G/\Gamma$. Specializing to $W = U$, the set of *U-generic* points in G/Γ equals to $T_G(U)$. The singular set composed of *non-U-generic* points is contained inside $\pi(S(G, U))$, which can be written as a countable union of sets of the form $\pi(N(H, U))$ for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ different than G. As the map $\pi : G \to G/\Gamma$ is 1-Lipschitz map, the Minkowski and the packing dimensions of $\pi(N(G, U))$ cannot increase, thus bounding the Hausdorff dimension of each such $\pi(N(H, U))$. Therefore, the non-U-generic points are contained in a countable union of lower-dimensional varieties, and by the union properties of the Hausdorff dimension, we deduce the result. □

Moreover, as we can compute $\|A_N \pi_{V_s} f - I_N \pi_{V_s} f\|$ separately for every L-representation V_s which is contained inside $L^2_0(G/\Gamma)$, we are essentially in the settings of Theorem 4.11, with a sampling along a polynomial of degree ℓ from the unipotent flow in L, hence we have the following estimate - $\|A_N f - I_N f\|_{V_s} \leq N^{-c}\|f\|_{V_s}$ where $c = c(p)$ independent of s. Using (5.1) we have -

$$\|A_N f - I_N f\|_{L^2(m)} \leq N^{-c}\|f\|_{L^2(m)},$$

for every K-finite function f. Continuing in an analogues manner to §4 we deduce that -

$$\dim_H \left\{x \in G/\Gamma \mid \left\{u_{p(t)}.x\right\} \text{ is not equidistributed}\right\} \leq \max\left\{\dim(G) - \frac{c}{d \cdot \ell}, D_T\right\},$$

where $D_T = \dim_H(\pi(S(G,U)))$ is the dimension of the tube of the non-U-generic points, and in-particular $D_T \leq \dim(G) - 1$. Moreover, as ℓ is bounded (depending on G), we can make this estimate uniform over the one-parameter unipotent subgroups of G.

References

[1] Jean Bourgain. An approach to pointwise ergodic theorems. In *Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87)*, volume 1317 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 204–223. Springer, Berlin, 1988.

[2] Jean Bourgain. Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (69):5–45, 1989. With an appendix by the author, Harry Furstenberg, Yitzhak Katznelson and Donald S. Ornstein.

[3] Jean Bourgain. On the vinogradov mean value. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.08173*, 2016.

[4] Daniel Bump. *Automorphic Forms and Representations*. Cambridge University Press, 1997. Cambridge Books Online.

[5] Marc Burger. Horocycle flow on geometrically finite surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 61(3):779–803, 1990.

[6] S. G. Dani and G. A. Margulis. Limit distributions of orbits of unipotent flows and values of quadratic forms. In *I. M. Gel′fand Seminar*, volume 16 of *Adv. Soviet Math.*, pages 91–137. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[7] S. G. Dani and John Smillie. Uniform distribution of horocycle orbits for fuchsian groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 51(1):185–194, 03 1984.

[8] Livio Flaminio and Giovanni Forni. Invariant distributions and time averages for horocycle flows. *Duke Math. J.*, 119(3):465–526, 2003.

[9] Livio Flaminio, Giovanni Forni, and James Tanis. Effective equidistribution of twisted horocycle flows and horocycle maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.05147*, 2015.

[10] I. M. Gel′fand, M. I. Graev, and I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro. *Representation theory and automorphic functions*, volume 6 of *Generalized Functions*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. Translated from the Russian by K. A. Hirsch, Reprint of the 1969 edition.

[11] Ben Green and Terence Tao. The quantitative behaviour of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):465–540, 2012.

[12] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert. *Sieve methods*. Academic Press [A subsidiary of Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London-New York, 1974. London Mathematical Society Monographs, No. 4.

[13] Loo-Keng Hua. On Waring's problem. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, os-9(1):199–202, 1938.

[14] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[15] Henry Kim and Peter Sarnak. Refined estimates towards the ramanujan and selberg conjectures. *J. Amer. Math. Soc*, 16(1):175–181, 2003.

[16] Anthony W. Knapp. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. An overview based on examples, Reprint of the 1986 original.

[17] Anthony W. Knapp. *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, volume 140 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 2002.

[18] A. Leibman. Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 25(1):201–213, 2005.

[19] Gregory Margulis. Problems and conjectures in rigidity theory. In *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, pages 161–174. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[20] Marina Ratner. Raghunathan's topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(1):235–280, 1991.

[21] Joseph M. Rosenblatt and Máté Wierdl. Pointwise ergodic theorems via harmonic analysis. In *Ergodic theory and its connections with harmonic analysis (Alexandria, 1993)*, volume 205 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 3–151. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[22] Peter Sarnak. Mobius randomness and dynamics. *Not. S. Afr. Math. Soc.*, 43(2):89–97, 2012.
[23] Peter Sarnak and Adrián Ubis. The horocycle flow at prime times. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 103(2):575–618, 2015.
[24] Nimish A. Shah. Limit distributions of polynomial trajectories on homogeneous spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 75(3):711–732, 1994.
[25] Andreas Strömbergsson. On the deviation of ergodic averages for horocycle flows. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 7(2):291–328, 2013.
[26] James Tanis and Pankaj Vishe. Uniform bounds for period integrals and sparse equidistribution. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (24):13728–13756, 2015.
[27] Akshay Venkatesh. Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):989–1094, 2010.
[28] George N. Watson. *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Reprint of the second (1944) edition.
[29] Cheng Zheng. Sparse equidistribution of unipotent orbits in finite-volume quotients of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 10:1–21, 2016.

Einstein Institute of Mathematics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, 91904, Israel.

E-mail address: asaf.katz@mail.huji.ac.il